## **Complete Summary**

#### **GUIDELINE TITLE**

Carpal tunnel syndrome (acute & chronic).

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

Work Loss Data Institute. Carpal tunnel syndrome (acute & chronic). Corpus Christi (TX): Work Loss Data Institute; 2008. 209 p. [288 references]

#### **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: Work Loss Data Institute. Carpal tunnel syndrome (acute & chronic). Corpus Christi (TX): Work Loss Data Institute; 2007 Apr 24. 201 p.

The Official Disability Guidelines product line, including ODG Treatment in Workers Comp, is updated annually, as it has been since the first release in 1996.

## **COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT**

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis RECOMMENDATIONS

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

**DISCLAIMER** 

#### SCOPE

## **DISEASE/CONDITION(S)**

Work-related carpal tunnel syndrome

## **GUIDELINE CATEGORY**

Diagnosis Evaluation Management Treatment

#### **CLINICAL SPECIALTY**

Family Practice Internal Medicine Neurology Orthopedic Surgery

#### **INTENDED USERS**

Advanced Practice Nurses Health Care Providers Health Plans Nurses Physician Assistants Physicians

## **GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)**

To offer evidence-based step-by-step decision protocols for the assessment and treatment of workers' compensation conditions

#### **TARGET POPULATION**

Workers with occupational carpal tunnel syndrome

#### INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

The following interventions/procedures were considered and recommended as indicated in the original guideline document:

- 1. Aerobic exercise
- 2. Braces/splinting
- 3. Breaks (microbreaks)
- 4. Carpal tunnel release surgery (CTR) (for specific indications see original guideline document)
- 5. Cold packs
- 6. Comorbidities assessment (e.g., depression, diabetes, hypothyroidism, obesity, pregnancy)
- 7. Continuous cold therapy (CCT) in the postoperative setting
- 8. Corticosteroid injections
- 9. Diagnostic assessment of night pain symptoms, nocturnal paresthesias, weak thumb abduction strength, and hypalgesia
- 10. Diagnostic ultrasound in difficult cases
- 11. Diagnostic tests such as Durkan's compression test, Flick sign (shaking hand), Katz hand diagram, Semmes-Weinstein monofilament test
- 12. Differential diagnosis
- 13. Electrodiagnostic studies (EDS)

- 14. Electromyography (EMG) when diagnosis is difficult with nerve conduction studies
- 15. Endoscopic surgery
- 16. Hand and wrist exercises
- 17. Heat therapy after initial cold packs
- 18. Nerve conduction studies (NCS)
- 19. Nerve/tendon gliding exercises
- 20. Nonprescription medications (acetaminophen, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs]). NSAIDs are not recommended as first line therapy.
- 21. Physical therapy/occupational therapy (see original guideline document for specific indications)
- 22. Psychosocial management
- 23. Return to work
- 24. Severity definitions, useful for an accurate diagnosis
- 25. Static 2-point discrimination (>6 millimeters)
- 26. Thenar atrophy in diagnosis of severe cases
- 27. Work restrictions/modified duty
- 28. Yoga

The following interventions/procedures are under study and are not specifically recommended:

- 1. Arnica
- 2. Ergonomic interventions
- 3. Insulin
- 4. Iontophoresis/phonophoresis
- 5. Lidocaine patch
- 6. Ligament stretching device
- 7. Massage
- 8. Mobilization (carpal bone)
- 9. Mouse use
- 10. Oral corticosteroids
- 11. Polarized polychromatic light (Bioptron light)
- 12. Therapeutic ultrasound
- 13. Traumatic carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS)

The following interventions/procedures were considered, but are not currently recommended:

- 1. Acupuncture
- 2. Assessment of wrist pain
- 3. Astaxanthin
- 4. Biofeedback
- 5. Botulinum toxin
- 6. Closed fist sign
- 7. Current perception threshold (CPT) testing/neurometer
- 8. Diuretics
- 9. Evoked potential studies
- 10. Fluidotherapy
- 11. Gel-padded glove
- 12. Hospitalization
- 13. Hypnosis

- 14. Laser acupuncture
- 15. Low-level laser therapy (LLLT)
- 16. Magnets/magnet therapy
- 17. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in the absence of ambiguous electrodiagnostic studies
- 18. Manipulation/chiropractic
- 19. Multiple extremity testing (unless CTS suspected in each limb)
- 20. NC-stat nerve conduction studies/NeuroMetrix (unless traditional electrodiagnostic testing is unavailable)
- 21. NSAIDs as first-line therapy
- 22. Phalen's test
- 23. Portable nerve conduction devices
- 24. Square wrist sign in diagnostic assessment
- 25. Surface EMG (SEMG)
- 26. Therapeutic touch
- 27. Tinel's sign in diagnostic assessment
- 28. Tourniquet test in diagnostic assessment
- 29. Transcutaneous electrical neurostimulation (TENS)
- 30. Vitamin B6 (pyridoxine) supplementation

#### **MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED**

- Sensitivity and specificity of diagnostic tests
- Effectiveness of treatments for relief of pain and symptoms

#### **METHODOLOGY**

#### METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Hand-searches of Published Literature (Primary Sources) Searches of Electronic Databases

#### **DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE**

Work Loss Data Institute (WLDI) conducted a comprehensive medical literature review (now ongoing) with preference given to high quality systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and clinical trials published since 1993, plus existing nationally recognized treatment guidelines from the leading specialty societies. WLDI primarily searched MEDLINE and the Cochrane Library. In addition, WLDI also reviewed other relevant treatment guidelines, including those in the National Guideline Clearinghouse, as well as state guidelines and proprietary guidelines maintained in the WLDI quideline library. These quidelines were also used to suggest references or search terms that may otherwise have been missed. In addition, WLDI also searched other databases, including MD Consult, eMedicine, CINAHL, and conference proceedings in occupational health (i.e., American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine [ACOEM]) and disability evaluation (i.e., American Academy of Disability Evaluating Physicians [AADEP], American Board of Independent Medical Examiners [ABIME]). Search terms and questions were diagnosis, treatment, symptom, sign, and/or body-part driven, generated based on new or previously indexed existing evidence, treatment parameters and experience.

In searching the medical literature, answers to the following questions were sought: (1) If the diagnostic criteria for a given condition have changed since 1993, what are the new diagnostic criteria? (2) What occupational exposures or activities are associated causally with the condition? (3) What are the most effective methods and approaches for the early identification and diagnosis of the condition? (4) What historical information, clinical examination findings or ancillary test results (such as laboratory or x-ray studies) are of value in determining whether a condition was caused by the patient's employment? (5) What are the most effective methods and approaches for treating the condition? (6) What are the specific indications, if any, for surgery as a means of treating the condition? (7) What are the relative benefits and harms of the various surgical and non-surgical interventions that may be used to treat the condition? (8) What is the relationship, if any, between a patient's age, gender, socioeconomic status and/or racial or ethnic grouping and specific treatment outcomes for the condition? (9) What instruments or techniques, if any, accurately assess functional limitations in an individual with the condition? (10) What is the natural history of the disorder? (11) Prior to treatment, what are the typical functional limitations for an individual with the condition? (12) Following treatment, what are the typical functional limitations for an individual with the condition? (13) Following treatment, what are the most cost-effective methods for preventing the recurrence of signs or symptoms of the condition, and how does this vary depending upon patient-specific matters such as underlying health problems?

## **Criteria for Selecting the Evidence**

Preference was given to evidence that met the following criteria: (1) The article was written in the English language, and the article had any of the following attributes: (2) It was a systematic review of the relevant medical literature, or (3) The article reported a controlled trial – randomized or controlled, or (4) The article reports a cohort study, whether prospective or retrospective, or (5) The article reports a case control series involving at least 25 subjects, in which the assessment of outcome was determined by a person or entity independent from the persons or institution that performed the intervention the outcome of which is being assessed.

More information about the selection of evidence is available in "Appendix. ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp. Methodology description using the AGREE instrument" (see "Availability of Companion Documents" field).

#### **NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS**

Not stated

# METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

## RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

#### Ranking by Type of Evidence

- 1. Systematic Review/Meta-Analysis
- 2. Controlled Trial-Randomized (RCT) or Controlled
- 3. Cohort Study-Prospective or Retrospective
- 4. Case Series
- 5. Unstructured Review
- 6. Nationally Recognized Treatment Guideline (from <a href="www.guideline.gov">www.guideline.gov</a>)
- 7. State Treatment Guideline
- 8. Other Treatment Guideline
- 9. Textbook
- 10. Conference Proceedings/Presentation Slides
- 11. Case Reports and Descriptions

## Ranking by Quality within Type of Evidence

- a. High Quality
- b. Medium Quality
- c. Low Quality

#### METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Review of Published Meta-Analyses Systematic Review

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

The Work Loss Data Institute (WLDI) reviewed each article that was relevant to answering the question at issue, with priority given to those that met the following criteria: (1) The article was written in the English language, and the article had any of the following attributes: (2) It was a systematic review of the relevant medical literature, or (3) The article reported a controlled trial – randomized or controlled, or (4) The article reported a cohort study, whether prospective or retrospective, or (5) The article reported a case control series involving at least 10 subjects, in which the assessment of outcome was determined by a person or entity independent from the persons or institution that performed the intervention the outcome of which is being assessed.

Especially, when articles on a specific topic that met the above criteria were limited in number and quality, WLDI also reviewed other articles that did not meet the above criteria, but all evidence was ranked alphanumerically (see the Rating Scheme of the Strength of Evidence field) so that the quality of evidence could be clearly determined when making decisions about what to recommend in the Guidelines. Articles with a Ranking by Type of Evidence of Case Reports and Case Series were not used in the evidence base for the Guidelines. These articles were not included because of their low quality (i.e., they tend to be anecdotal descriptions of what happened with no attempt to control for variables that might affect outcome). Not all the evidence provided by WLDI was eventually listed in the bibliography of the published Guidelines. Only the higher quality references were listed. The criteria for inclusion was a final ranking of 1a to 4b (the original inclusion criteria suggested the methodology subgroup), or if the Ranking by Type of Evidence was 5 to 10, the quality ranking should be an "a."

Not stated

#### RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not applicable

#### **COST ANALYSIS**

The guideline developers reviewed published cost analyses.

#### **METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION**

External Peer Review

#### **DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION**

Prior to publication, select organizations and individuals making up a cross-section of medical specialties and typical end-users externally reviewed the guideline.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### **MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS**

## **Initial Diagnosis**

- First visit: with Primary Care Physician MD/DO (100%)
- Determine severity: (see also Severity definitions in the Procedure Summary of the original guideline document)
  - Mild/moderate (Go to Initial Conservative Treatment):
    - Symptoms: pain/numbness in hand/wrist/forearm, below the elbow, with altered sensation often described as numbness or tingling that is primarily in thumb, index, and middle finger (Katz hand diagram and hypesthesia index finger compared to little finger), with nocturnal awakening, possible impaired dexterity, and often having to shake the hand for relief (the Flick sign has a sensitivity of 93% and specificity 96%)
    - Tests: Phalen's/Tinel's signs not always useful individually but when combined with the appropriate history have an increased sensitivity and specificity; also consider Semmes Weinstein monofilament test, compression testing which can be supplemented by doing Durkan's compression test. (See Table, "Sensitivity and Specificity of Diagnostic Tests for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Measured Against Nerve Conduction Studies" in the original guideline document.)
    - Recommended: findings that best distinguish between patients with electrodiagnostic evidence of carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) and patients without it are hypalgesia in the median nerve territory, classic or probable Katz hand diagram results, and weak thumb abduction strength (but weakness can be difficult to determine). See Table, "Sensitivity and Specificity of

- Diagnostic Tests for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Measured Against Nerve Conduction Studies" in the original guideline document.
- Muscle atrophy: mild weakness of thenar muscles (thumb abduction)
- History/exam, comorbidities: diabetes, hypothyroidism, rheumatoid arthritis, obesity, hypertension, depression, inactivity, age, work activities, and hobbies

Carpal tunnel syndrome seems to be primarily attributable to CTS-prone personal characteristics (e.g., obesity, diabetes, female, smoking), but symptoms can occur with physical activities at home and in the workplace. There is some evidence to conclude that CTS symptoms are associated with workplace activities, but current studies have not proven causal relationship. See "Work" in the Procedure Summary of the original guideline document.

- Concurrent pregnancy: CTS likely to resolve on its own within 6 weeks after delivery
- Severe (Go Directly to Electrodiagnostic Testing)
  - Muscle atrophy: severe weakness of thenar muscles (uncommon in workers' compensation cases)
  - Test: 2-point discrimination over 6 mm helpful but semiobjective test
- Rule out diagnoses (See other treatment parameters for each of these):
  - Cervical radiculopathy (refer to the original guideline document for relevant International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision [ICD-9] codes for CTS and other diagnoses)
  - Tendonitis
  - Osteoarthritis
  - Thoracic outlet syndrome, brachial plexus disorders

#### Mild/Moderate -- Initial Conservative Treatment (70% of cases)

- Also first visit (day 1):
  - Prescribe modification of activity (home and work), breaks, stretching, night and possibly day splint, appropriate analgesia (i.e., acetaminophen) [Benchmark cost: \$14], stay at work or return to work--modified duty if condition associated with job, possible ergonomic evaluation of job, patient education

#### Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Return-To-Work Pathways

Conservative treatment, modified work (limit repetitive use of hand/wrist): 0 days

Conservative treatment, regular work (if not aggravating to disability/use of splint): 0 to 5 days

(See ODG Capabilities & Activity Modifications for Restricted Work under "Work" in the Procedure Summary of the original guideline document)

- Second visit (day 7 to 14--about 2 weeks after first visit, but sooner if the patient is off work)
  - Document progress

- If not significantly improved then *may* (approximately 50% of cases) prescribe occupational or physical therapy for home exercise training [*Benchmark cost: \$250*]: Refer to Physical Therapist (50%) or Occupational Therapist (50%) for 3 visits.
- Third visit (day 20 to 30--about 1 month after first visit, but sooner if patient is off work)
  - Document progress.
  - Corticosteroid injection trial (high likelihood of relief, but may have recurrence of symptoms within several months--initial relief of symptoms can be a good indicator for success of surgery, can assist in confirmation of diagnosis) [Benchmark cost: \$276]. Should be performed by musculoskeletally trained physician because of possible nerve injury. Recommend only one injection (no more than three if benefits continue.

## **ODG Return-To-Work Pathways**

Conservative treatment, regular work (if work related): 28 days

Conservative treatment, regular work (with severe nerve impairment): indefinite

- Fourth visit (day 40 to 50--about 6 weeks after first visit)
  - Refer for Electrodiagnostic Testing

## **Electrodiagnostic Testing** (50% of cases)

[Benchmark cost: \$370]

- All severe cases, plus mild/moderate cases after Initial Conservative
   Treatment above; See "Protocols for electrodiagnostic studies" in the original
   guideline document.
- Refer to Neurologist (70%) or Physical Medicine (30%) specialists certified in electrodiagnostic medicine, for nerve conduction studies (NCS) and/or electromyography (EMG), the "gold standard" tests for the evaluation of CTS.
- Positive test: refer for possible Carpal Tunnel Release depending on severity
- Note: ODG recommends that NCS should be done to support the diagnosis of CTS prior to surgery. If an individual has appropriate responses to treatment (i.e. injections, modification of activities, meds) but still has symptoms with normal NCS, surgery may be appropriate on a case-by-case basis and reasonable documentation by the treating physician.

### Carpal Tunnel Release (35% of cases)

(See also *ODG Indications for Surgery*<sup>™</sup> -- *Carpal Tunnel Release* in the Procedure Summary in the original guideline document)
[*Benchmark cost:* \$3,158]

- Only after the positive diagnosis of CTS is made by history, physical examination, and electrodiagnostic studies
- Performed by Hand Surgeon: Orthopaedic Surgeon (75%), Neurosurgeon (10%), Plastic Surgeon (10%), or General Surgeon (5%)
- On an outpatient basis

- May be open or endoscopic, depending on experience of surgeon (risk of nerve injury and incomplete release greater with endoscopic)
- If bilateral (55% of cases), schedule separate surgeries (usually)
- Expected outcome:
  - Mild/moderate cases: over 90% success with complete recovery after failure of Initial Conservative Treatment (Outcomes in workers' comp cases may not be as good as outcomes overall, but still support surgery.)
  - Severe cases: Complete recovery is unlikely, but 90% will benefit from at least partial recovery.
- Post-surgical treatment:
  - Splint day and night: not recommended
  - Stitches out in 8 to 14 days
  - Physical/Occupational Therapy: A short course *may* be appropriate; if so, then post-surgical treatment of 3 to 5 visits.

#### **ODG Return-To-Work Pathways**

Endoscopic surgery, modified work: 3 to 5 days

Endoscopic surgery, regular work, non-dominant arm: 14 to 28 days

Endoscopic surgery, regular/repetitive/heavy manual work, dominant arm: 42 days to indefinite

Open surgery, mini palm technique, modified work: 1 to 5 days

Open surgery, mini palm technique, regular work, non-dominant arm: 5 to 20 days

Open surgery, mini palm technique, regular/repetitive/heavy manual work, dominant arm: 28 days to indefinite

Open surgery, traditional approach, modified work: 10 days

Open surgery, traditional approach, regular work, non-dominant arm: 42 days

Open surgery, traditional approach, regular/repetitive/heavy manual work, dominant arm: 56 days to indefinite

- Failed Carpal Tunnel Release (4% of cases):
  - Repeat Electrodiagnostic Testing
  - Repeat Carpal Tunnel Release (by fellowship-trained Hand Surgeon)

#### CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

#### **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

During the comprehensive medical literature review, preference was given to high quality systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and clinical trials over the past ten years, plus existing nationally recognized treatment guidelines from the leading specialty societies.

The heart of each Work Loss Data Institute guideline is the Procedure Summary (see the original guideline document), which provides a concise synopsis of effectiveness, if any, of each treatment method based on existing medical evidence. Each summary and subsequent recommendation is hyper-linked into the studies on which they are based, in abstract form, which have been ranked, highlighted and indexed.

#### BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **POTENTIAL BENEFITS**

These guidelines unite evidence-based protocols for medical treatment with normative expectations for disability duration. They also bridge the interests of the many professional groups involved in diagnosing and treating carpal tunnel syndrome.

#### **POTENTIAL HARMS**

Endoscopic surgery is associated with greater risk of nerve injury and incomplete release than open surgery.

## **QUALIFYING STATEMENTS**

#### **QUALIFYING STATEMENTS**

The Treatment Planning sections outline the most common pathways to recovery, but there is no single approach that is right for every patient and these protocols do not mention every treatment that may be recommended. See the Procedure Summaries (in the original guideline document) for complete lists of the various options that may be available, along with links to the medical evidence.

#### IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

## **DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY**

An implementation strategy was not provided.

## **IMPLEMENTATION TOOLS**

Patient Resources

For information about <u>availability</u>, see the "Availability of Companion Documents" and "Patient Resources" fields below.

## INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

## **IOM CARE NEED**

Getting Better Living with Illness

#### **IOM DOMAIN**

Effectiveness Patient-centeredness

#### **IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY**

### **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

Work Loss Data Institute. Carpal tunnel syndrome (acute & chronic). Corpus Christi (TX): Work Loss Data Institute; 2008. 209 p. [288 references]

#### **ADAPTATION**

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

## **DATE RELEASED**

2003 (revised 2008 May 19)

## **GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)**

Work Loss Data Institute - Public For Profit Organization

#### **SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING**

Not stated

#### **GUIDELINE COMMITTEE**

Not stated

#### COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Editor-in-Chief, Philip L. Denniston, Jr. and Senior Medical Editor, Charles W. Kennedy, Jr., MD, together pilot the group of approximately 80 members. See the ODG *Treatment in Workers Comp* Editorial Advisory Board.

#### FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There are no conflicts of interest among the guideline development members.

#### **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: Work Loss Data Institute. Carpal tunnel syndrome (acute & chronic). Corpus Christi (TX): Work Loss Data Institute; 2007 Apr 24. 201 p.

The Official Disability Guidelines product line, including ODG Treatment in Workers Comp, is updated annually, as it has been since the first release in 1996.

#### **GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY**

Electronic copies: Available to subscribers from the <u>Work Loss Data Institute Web</u> <u>site</u>.

Print copies: Available from the Work Loss Data Institute, 169 Saxony Road, Suite 210, Encinitas, CA 92024; Phone: 800-488-5548, 760-753-9992, Fax: 760-753-9995; <a href="https://www.worklossdata.com">www.worklossdata.com</a>.

#### **AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS**

The following are available:

- Background information on the development of the Official Disability
  Guidelines of the Work Loss Data Institute is available from the Work Loss
  Data Institute Web site.
- Appendix A. ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp. Methodology description using the AGREE instrument. Available to subscribers from the <u>Work Loss Data</u> <u>Institute Web site</u>.

#### **PATIENT RESOURCES**

The following is available:

Appendix B. ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp. Patient information resources.
 2008.

Electronic copies: Available to subscribers from the <u>Work Loss Data Institute Web</u> site.

Print copies: Available from the Work Loss Data Institute, 169 Saxony Road, Suite 210, Encinitas, CA 92024; Phone: 800-488-5548, 760-753-9992, Fax: 760-753-9995; <a href="https://www.worklossdata.com">www.worklossdata.com</a>.

Please note: This patient information is intended to provide health professionals with information to share with their patients to help them better understand their health and their diagnosed disorders. By

providing access to this patient information, it is not the intention of NGC to provide specific medical advice for particular patients. Rather we urge patients and their representatives to review this material and then to consult with a licensed health professional for evaluation of treatment options suitable for them as well as for diagnosis and answers to their personal medical questions. This patient information has been derived and prepared from a guideline for health care professionals included on NGC by the authors or publishers of that original guideline. The patient information is not reviewed by NGC to establish whether or not it accurately reflects the original guideline's content.

#### **NGC STATUS**

This summary was completed by ECRI on February 2, 2004. The information was verified by the guideline developer on February 13, 2004. This NGC summary was updated by ECRI on March 24, 2005, January 3, 2006, April 11, 2006, November 9, 2006, March 28, 2007, and August 16, 2007. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on December 17, 2008.

#### **COPYRIGHT STATEMENT**

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

#### DISCLAIMER

#### **NGC DISCLAIMER**

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <a href="http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx">http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx</a>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

## © 1998-2009 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 1/5/2009

